1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE	
2	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	
3		
4	February 3, 2020 - 10:07 a.m. Concord, New Hampshire NHPUC 20FEB'20843:44	
5	Concord, New Hampshire NHFUC ZUFEB 20043:44	
6		
7	RE: DE 19-197 ELECTRIC AND NATURAL GAS UTILITIES:	
8	Development of a Statewide, Multi-Use Online Energy Data Platform.	
9	(Prehearing conference)	
10		
11	PRESENT: Chairwoman Dianne Martin, Presiding Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey	
12	Cmsr. Michael S. Giaimo	
13	Jody Carmody, Clerk	
14	APPEARANCES: Reptg. Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy:	
15	Jessica Chiavara, Esq. Matthew J. Fossum, Esq.	
16	Reptg. Unitil:	
17	Carleton Simpson, Esq.	
18	Reptg. Liberty Utilities: Michael J. Sheehan, Esq.	
19	Reptg. Mission: Data Coalition:	
20	Michael Murray, President	
2.1	Reptg. Direct Energy: Douglas L. Patch, Esq.	
22		
23	Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	
92	5	

O



1		
2	APPEARANCES:	(Continued)
3		Reptg. Clean Energy NH: Madeleine Mineau, Exec. Director
4		Reptg. the City of Lebanon:
5		Clifton Below, Asst. Mayor
6		Reptg. the Town of Hanover: April Salas, Sustainability Dir.
7		Reptg. Packetized Energy: Charles Spence
9		Reptg. Utility API: Devin Hampton, CEO
10 11		Reptg. Community Choice Partners: Samuel V. Golding, President
12		Rep. Kat McGhee, pro se
13		Patricia Martin, pro se
14		Reptg. Sector Capital Resources: Robert Cheney, Esq. (Sheehan)
15		Reptg. Sector Energy Resources:
16		Mark Dell'Orfano, Esq. (Sheehan)
17		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv.
18 19		Christa Shute, Esq. James Brennan, Finance Director Office of Consumer Advocate
20		Reptg. PUC Staff:
21		Brian D. Buckley, Esq. Stephen Eckberg, Electric Div.
22		Jason Morse, Sustainable Energy Div.
23		
2 4		

1		
2	INDEX	
	INDEX	21.62
3		PAGE NO.
4	DISCUSSION RE: INTERVENTIONS	7
5		
6	STATEMENTS OF PRELIMINARY POSITION BY:	
7	Mr. Murray	11
8	Mr. Simpson	12
9	Ms. Chiavara	12
10	Mr. Patch	14
11	Ms. Mineau	16
12	Mr. Sheehan	19
13	Mr. Below	20
14	Mr. Farid	21
15	Rep. McGhee	2 4
16	Ms. Salas	28
17	Ms. Martin	32
18	Mr. Spence	33
19	Mr. Hampton	3 4
20	Mr. Golding	36
21	Mr. Kreis	3 9
22	Mr. Buckley	42
23		
24		

PROCEEDING 1 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Well, it looks 2 3 like we have a pretty full house today. All 4 right. We're here this morning in Docket DW 5 19-197, for a prehearing conference regarding the development of a statewide multi-use online 6 7 energy data platform. Sorry, that should have 8 been "DE 19-197". 9 Before we get started, let's take 10 appearances. If you'd like to start? 11 MR. MURRAY: Can you hear me? 12 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yes. 13 CMSR. GIAIMO: Yes. 14 MR. MURRAY: Good morning, 15 Commissioners and Chairwoman. Michael Murray, 16 from Mission: Data Coalition. 17 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: It looks like we 18 might have to share some microphones today. 19 And, if you are not sitting by a microphone, 20 and if you are going to speak, if you can come 21 forward and find a microphone, probably up here 22 at the front, that would be great. 23 MR. EISFELLER: Good morning. Justin 24 Eisfeller, VP of IT at Unitil.

```
1
                   MR. SIMPSON:
                                  I'm Carleton Simpson,
 2
         attorney for Unitil.
 3
                   MS. CHIAVARA: Jessica Chiavara,
         counsel for Eversource.
 4
                   MR. PATCH: Doug Patch, counsel for
 5
         Direct Energy, with the law firm of Orr & Reno.
 6
 7
                   MS. MINEAU: Madeleine Mineau,
 8
         representing Clean Energy New Hampshire.
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Mike Sheehan,
9
10
         representing Liberty Utilities.
11
                   MR. BELOW: Clifton Below, on behalf
12
         of the City of Lebanon.
13
                   MR. SPENCE: Charles Spence,
14
         Packetized Energy.
15
                   MR. HAMPTON: Devin Hampton, Utility
16
         API.
17
                   MR. GOLDING: Samuel Golding,
         Community Choice Partners.
18
19
                   MR. KREIS: Good morning,
20
         Commissioners. I'm D. Maurice Kreis, the
21
         Consumer Advocate. We represent residential
22
         utility customers. With me today is our Staff
23
         attorney, Christa Shute, and our Director of
24
         Finance, James Brennan.
```

```
1
                   MR. BUCKLEY: Madam Chair, I think
         there are at least two more intervenors in the
 2
 3
         back row that we might want to prod, including
         the Town of Hanover and Clean Energy New
 4
 5
         Hampshire.
                   MS. SALAS: Good morning. April
 6
 7
         Salas, Town of Hanover -- Good morning. April
 8
         Salas, the Town of Hanover.
                   REP. McGHEE: And good morning.
 9
10
         Representative McGhee, from Hillsborough 40.
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you.
11
12
         think I see Patricia Martin back there?
13
                   MS. MARTIN: Patricia Martin,
14
         ratepayer.
15
                   MR. BUCKLEY: Good morning, Madam
16
         Chair and Commissioners. My name is Brian D.
17
         Buckley. I'm a Staff attorney for the New
18
         Hampshire Public Utilities Commission. To my
19
         left is Mr. Steve Eckberg, and to his left is
20
         Mr. Jason Morse.
21
                   MR. CHENEY: Good morning. Bob
22
         Cheney, from Sheehan, Phinney, Bass & Green.
23
         We're here for Sector Capital Resources.
24
         have not intervened at this point.
```

1 MR. DELL'ORFANO: Mark Dell'Orfano, 2 from Sheehan Phinney, Sector Energy Resources. 3 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We do have a number of pending motions to intervene, as 4 5 many -- oh. Go ahead, Mr. Buckley. MR. BUCKLEY: If I may, Chair Martin? 6 7 Noting that we have, by Staff's most recent count, approximately ten motions to intervene 8 9 pending, would it be helpful if Staff 10 summarized the standard for intervention in an 11 adjudicative proceeding, and provided an 12 assessment of whether the parties have reached 13 that standard based on their written petitions? 14 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Sure. 15 MR. BUCKLEY: Thank you. 16 Administrative Procedure Act sets forth two 17 standards for intervention in an administrative 18 proceeding; the first being mandatory and the 19 second being at the discretion of the 20 Commission. 21 First, pursuant to RSA 541-A:32 and 22 Rule Puc 203.17, the mandatory standard under 23 which the Commission reviews requests for 24 intervention is whether the petition for

intervention sets forth certain rights, duties, privileges, immunities, or other substantial interests that may be affected by the proceeding, and, relatedly, whether the interest of justice and the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceedings would not be impaired by allowing the intervention.

Alternatively, pursuant to RSA 541-A:32, II, the Commission may use its discretionary ability to grant an intervention in an adjudicative proceeding if it believes doing so would be in the interest of justice and not impair the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceedings.

Staff has reviewed the various requests for intervention, and concluded that the Commission should use its discretionary grant of intervention provided for in RSA 541-A:32, II, to grant all requests for intervention in the instant proceeding. This is because, regardless of the questions relating to the rights, duties, privileges, immunities, or other substantial interests that may be affected by this proceeding, we believe

that each of the intervenors whose petitions we have seen thus far offer a unique perspective upon the form that a statewide multiuse customer energy data platform might take, and will contribute to the docket in a manner that will be in the interest of justice and not impair the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceedings. We believe this is true for both the timely intervenors and the late-filed petition filed by Packetized Energy.

We note one caveat that the

Commission may want to clarify, and that

relates to the petition for intervention of

Representative McGhee. The Commission has

historically expressed some degree of hesitance

related to intervention of legislators who

claim to be intervening on behalf of their

constituents, for reasons relating to res

judicata issues, amongst other things.

As I understand it, Representative

McGhee offers a unique perspective in this

proceeding, due to her experience with software

platforms, and is an Eversource ratepayer. And
the Commission may want to clarify that it is

```
1
         for those reasons that the Representative may
 2
         be seeking intervention and/or granted
 3
         intervention, rather than in her capacity as a
         representative of her constituents.
 4
                    That is all. Thank you.
 5
 6
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you for
 7
         that.
                    Is there anyone who would like to be
 8
9
         heard, either to object or otherwise be heard
10
         on the motions to intervene?
11
                    And I will say that, because we have
12
         so many pending and some recently received, I
13
         am not aware that we've received any written
14
         objections to those. And the plan for today
15
         was to proceed -- have everyone proceed, for
16
         sole purposes of today in the technical
17
         session, assuming that all of those are going
18
         to be granted.
19
                    So, there's no need to speak, unless
20
         you have something that you want to say in
21
         response to Staff's representations?
22
                         [No verbal response.]
23
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. All right.
24
         And one other thing I'd like to add, we may
```

```
1
         have people here from the public who want to be
         heard or who have not moved to intervene.
 2
 3
         think what we'll do is take initial positions
 4
         first from anyone who is a party or who has
 5
         moved to intervene, and then we will hear from
 6
         anyone else who wishes to be heard.
 7
                   Okay. With that said, let us start
         with the preliminary position statements.
 8
 9
                   MR. MURRAY: Good morning. I'm
10
         Michael Murray, with Mission: Data Coalition.
11
         I run a nonprofit organization that has about
12
         30 members that provide energy management
13
         services of different types. I co-founded the
14
         organization in order to further improve access
15
         to customer energy data with the permission of
16
         customers nationwide. And I am excited to be
17
         here and offer our experience from working in
18
         fifteen other states and the District of
19
         Columbia concerning data access, privacy, smart
20
         meter, and other issues for the Commission's
21
         consideration.
22
                    Thank you.
23
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:
                                        Thank you.
24
         Mr. Simpson.
```

```
1
                   MR. SIMPSON:
                                  Good morning. Once
 2
         again, I'm Carleton Simpson, regulatory counsel
         with Unitil. Members of the Commission, thank
 3
 4
         you for having this opportunity for us to
 5
         provide an opening statement.
 6
                   Over the last several years, Unitil
 7
         has been involved with the Consumer Advocate's
 8
         Office on data sharing standards pursuant our
 9
         last electric rate case. We have also been
10
         involved over the past year or so on the
11
         legislative side of development of Senate Bill
12
         284.
13
                   We support data sharing. We support
14
         offering information to our customers. And we
15
         look forward to this process to develop a set
16
         of tools and standards that can effectuate that
17
         purpose.
18
                    Thank you.
19
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you.
20
         Ms. Chiavara.
21
                   MS. CHIAVARA: Good morning again,
22
         Commissioners, and thank you. Jessica
23
         Chiavara, counsel on behalf of Public Service
```

{DE 19-197} [Prehearing conference] {02-03-20}

Company of New Hampshire, doing business as

24

Eversource Energy.

Eversource is here today to express its commitment to robust participation in this docket that explores the possibility of implementing a statewide online platform providing New Hampshire's energy customers data to facilitate a number of programs and policies as envisioned by SB 284.

Eversource has a long, documented history of both managing and protecting its customers' data and the inherent right to privacy that that entails, consistent with the duties to do so under RSA Section 363, Subsections 37 and 38, and as a responsible corporate entity.

While we acknowledge such a platform for accessing customer data could advance progressive energy programs and policies, Eversource also asserts the paramount importance of continuing to safeguard that data, as the right to that data and decisions on how it may be used resides with the customers, and that principle cannot be compromised.

1 That being said, Eversource is committed to exploring the development of any 2 3 viable avenues to create a statewide online 4 data platform envisioned under this docket, and 5 welcomes the possibility to advance energy 6 policies, while remaining a steadfast and 7 responsible steward of its customers' personal data. 8 Thank you. 9 10 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. And 11 this is where I lose track of who is where. 12 So, if you can just introduce yourself again 13 for me, so I can keep track of who is speaking, 14 I would appreciate it. 15 MR. PATCH: Unless you want any other 16 utilities to go first, I'm Doug Patch. And I 17 could give you a brief statement of Direct 18

Energy's position?

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: That would be great. We'll just work our way from left to right and back to the front.

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. PATCH: Okay. Direct Energy has three different affiliates that are registered to do business here in this state as suppliers;

one in the natural gas area, and two in the electric area. And it's Direct Energy's position that the sharing of data with the market, including third party providers who are specifically recognized in the statute, and of which Direct Energy is one, can encourage market competition for the provision of advanced energy technologies.

In general, the use of standards and protocols for data sharing can facilitate interoperability, empower third parties, and provide the opportunity for customers to reduce their costs. Security, of course, is an inherent risk related to the sharing of customer data, and an issue that needs to be addressed in this docket.

Interval data enables time-varying rates, demand response, innovation, and can allow third party service providers the opportunity to offer ways to reduce system costs, or for customers to reduce their own costs.

Aggregated customer information can be made available if certain protocols to

1 protect individual customer usage and identity are adopted. Individual customer data should 2 3 be made available consistent with the 4 requirements and protections that are set forth in RSA 363:38. An individual customer is 6 always free to share the customer's data with 7 third parties, but utilities and third parties should take care to make customers aware of the 8 9 risks created by such sharing. 10 Third party access to granular 11 customer-centered energy data will enable new 12 and innovative advanced technology solutions 13 that educate and empower the consumer. 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. 16 MS. MINEAU: Thank you, Madam 17 Chairwoman, for the opportunity to speak today. 18 Again, I'm Madeleine Mineau, with Clean Energy 19 New Hampshire. 20 Clean Energy New Hampshire is a 21 membership-based nonprofit. Our members 22 represent roughly 130 businesses, 22 local 23 governments, and a few hundred individuals.

{DE 19-197} [Prehearing conference] {02-03-20}

Our mission is to promote clean energy and

24

technologies through education and advocacy for a stronger economic Future.

Clean Energy New Hampshire supports empowering customers and service providers with better access to their data. Better access to energy data will be beneficial for all energy users, including businesses, cities and towns, and individuals. Access to data and access to information fosters competition and market opportunities that can enable customer choice.

Enabling data exchange can foster competition in retail energy markets.

Competition is referred to positively in our state policies, and in the mission of the Public Utilities Commission. Part of the mission of the PUC is to foster competition where appropriate. Open access to information -- open access for information is required for competitive markets.

Improved data access will enhance the ability of customers to make informed decisions, and take advantage of innovative services to help them control their energy costs as envisioned by New Hampshire's

restructuring statute.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Access to data is critical to electric grid modernization, as made clear by the New Hampshire Grid Mod Working Group Report. The collaborative Grid Mod Work Group Report of 2017 focused heavily on customer engagement and the integration of distributed energy resources. The Grid Mod Report emphasizes the importance of data access to enabling grid mod, and enabling customers to adopt behaviors and technologies that can reduce their energy costs, as well as systemwide costs. Informed customers are required to -- informed customers are required to align customer behavior and distributed energy resources with the bigger picture of electricity system costs.

Community level access to customer data would also be critically important to new community power aggregations that plan to launch in the near future. These aggregations will better enable — will be better enabled to serve their communities if they have speedy and robust access to data to inform their energy

1 risk management strategies, accurately 2 represent customer's load profile, and enact 3 and evaluate the effect of their own policies 4 and programs. 5 Finally, New Hampshire businesses, be 6 they DER installers or energy brokers should 7 have access to their customer's data in a way that is easy to use and efficient. 8 9 I think that everyone here this 10 morning understands the potential benefits of 11 empowering customers with better access to 12 their energy data, however, we may not now, at 13 the outset of this docket, agree on the best

morning understands the potential benefits of empowering customers with better access to their energy data, however, we may not now, at the outset of this docket, agree on the best way to achieve that, the urgency with which to facilitate it, or the reasonable costs associated with such an endeavor. Clean Energy New Hampshire hopes to work collaboratively with all the parties to achieve a mutually agreeable resolution that will enable the potential of better informed customers.

Thank you.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you.

MR. SHEEHAN: Good morning. Again, Mike Sheehan, on behalf of Liberty Utilities'

electric distribution company, Granite State Electric.

The prior utility speakers have stolen most of what I was going to say. So, briefly, this docket raises a number of challenges, both legal -- or, including legal, technological, and operational. The statute is clear in what its ultimate goal is, and the Company is committed to helping everyone here get to that goal. And we look forward to a -- also a collaborative process to hopefully reach an agreement on how we can do so.

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you.

MR. BELOW: Good morning,

Commissioners. I'm Assistant Mayor Clifton
Below, for the City of Lebanon. And with me
here today is Dr. Amro Farid, who is volunteer
technical advisor for the City. And I'd like
to have him make a few comments on behalf of
the City.

He is Associate Professor of
Engineering at the Thayer School of Engineering
at Dartmouth, where he operates the laboratory

for intelligent integrated networks of engineering systems.

And, in general, I just want to say that municipalities, cities, and towns have a strong interest in energy data. It's sort of where the rubber hits the road. Many towns and municipalities have adopted energy policies and climate action policies for which the state is important.

We did work to amend the privacy statute to make clear that customer data, with appropriate privacy protections, can be used for research and developing new rate structures, and services and programs for customers. And that's part of what we're doing working with Professor Farid.

MR. FARID: Thank you, Clifton, for the introduction.

I'd like to commend the PUC for its initiation of this 19-197 data docket. I think that the exchange of data in the electric power system is necessary for the coordinated integration of renewables and other distributed energy resources. It serves or has the

potential to reduce system costs and costs for ratepayers, enhanced reliability and resilience.

Data sharing standards must and should be developed in open collaborative processes, rather than a process specific to a specific organization or territory, in order to enhance interoperability. There is the opportunity to enable information, communication technologies, and energy management services that are relevant to New Hampshire, but also bring in services and technologies from well outside the state.

There is significant precedent of developing data sharing standards within an electric power system, and I refer everyone to the common information model that has been relevant to generation, transmission, and distribution. And I very much see that this data docket is an extension of that, to include customer information and the interactions with the various stakeholders in the electric power system. Those types of standards have been developed in collaborative, open processes,

with nonprofit entities, such as the IEC, the IEEE, and others.

I would also like to mention that there is a difference between the nature of the data itself, its structure, and who owns it, and questions of privacy. There are fundamental questions, first of all, making sure that the data is well-defined and structured appropriately.

I would like to conclude by commending the Office of the Consumer Advocate, who I have been speaking to for some time now, as I understand, they have gone a long way to engage many stakeholders across the state of all different types, to seek out the right type of information and begin to organize that information. So, I think that's a very commendable process thus far.

MR. BELOW: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. And before we above across the rest of that row, he I think I'll ask the two folks who have moved to intervene in the back row, starting with Representative McGhee, if you could come to the

```
1
         front.
                   REP. McGHEE: Yes.
 2
                                        Thank you. Can I
 3
         submit this document also, as part of my
         testimony for the record?
 4
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: You can. Do you
 5
 6
         have multiple copies?
 7
                   REP. McGHEE: I do. I do have
         copies. Make sure I don't give you all my
 8
9
         other paperwork as well.
10
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Why don't you
11
         just bring it up here.
12
                   REP. McGHEE: All right.
13
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: We'll take it,
14
         and then we can make copies for others during
15
         the technical session, if need be.
16
                   REP. McGHEE: Thank you. Thank you,
17
         Commissioners. Good morning. As stated, my
18
         name is Representative McGhee. I was invited
19
         to intervene, as I ended up in the unusual
20
         position of helping to shepherd SB 284, once it
21
         came over from the Senate through the House.
22
                   My background is as a program manager
23
         in software engineering. And, when I first
24
         read SB 284, I recognized it as a software
```

project, which was kind of in midstream.

Advocate's Office for an overview to familiarize myself with what it was we were attempting to do, before I attempted to reach out to the utilities to bring them in to try and get the bill where it needed to go. And, during that process, I spent two hours in Jim Brennan's office of the Consumer Advocate's Office, having him explain the data model that I submitted with my testimony, which came to our committee with that bill.

And, so, basically, what I was to understand was that the first 18 months, which are close to 24 months now, of research on what the State of New Hampshire data model standard might end up entailing, was culminating in the bill, and that -- that was basically phase one of the project, was sort of gathering what lessons learned had come from other states who had attempted to do this, so that we had a really solid framework to move forward from, sort of a definitional framework of what this could be.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And, so, what I understood to be lacking when I read the bill, because of my background in software engineering, was that the bill was basically saying "Here, utilities, here, competitors, take this and run with it", which is not, I think, the intention of the state, if you want to have the project succeed.

So, when I wrote my intervention request, I tried to point out points of failure that I see, based on the hierarchy of the system, the bureaucracy that's trying to initiate the project. And what I wanted to bring out in this hearing is that there isn't currently a Department of Energy, there isn't really a home for this project within the infrastructure of the state. And I think that that begs for a technical working group that can figure out whether we're going to be partnering with an innovation lab at UNH or with Dartmouth or, you know, if there is way for us to have a technical collaboration that takes the leap of the data model standard detail that's already been defined and goes from there.

The second major issue, I think, is that the knowledge framework for what we're attempting to do resides in someone who is not in really a capacity to take the project forward, which, again, is Jim Brennan, who has been calling everybody and talking to all these folks in other states, and going to the length of even reading the minutes of the meetings, to try and really figure out what other people have been doing and what this model needs to include.

So, again, my intervenor's request is not very long. What I tried to do was raise the fact that there are points of failure for leadership from the state, which I think, in a software project of this complexity, you have to have. You can't just hand it off, without having some oversight from the state. And, from the beginning, the bill lacked that. It didn't identify any owner who would take it forward. So, that still exists.

And let's see. Oh. And I think that's the other thing I wanted to really bring to this hearing, is that, unlike other

```
1
         collaborations between the state and the
         utilities, the fact that there is a software
 2
 3
         project, and a significant one, makes it
         different. So, I think it begs the question
 4
 5
         "How will it be different?" How will we move
 6
         forward with a technical working group? Or,
 7
         you know, what is going to be the methodology
         for moving the software project itself forward?
 8
         Because I think that's distinct from the other
9
10
         conversations that might normally take place at
         the PUC, in terms of the collaboration.
11
12
                   And I think that's probably all I
13
         have to say. Does that make sense? Do you
14
         have any questions?
15
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Questions?
16
                         [No verbal response.]
17
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: No.
18
                   REP. McGHEE: Okay. Thank you.
19
                   MS. SALAS: Good morning. My name is
20
         April Salas, again, for the --
21
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Go ahead.
22
                   MS. SALAS: Good morning. My name is
23
         April Salas, again representing the Town of
24
                   I'm the part-time Sustainability
         Hanover.
```

1 Director for the Town, it's an inaugural position, the basis of our 100 -- Ready for 100 2 vote in 2017. I'm full-time the Executive 3 Director of the Revers Center for Energy at the 4 5 Tuck School of Business. Significance of which 6 is a grant that we won from the Arthur L. 7 Irving Institute for Energy and Society for the development of a Community Energy Dashboard. 8 9 Prior to arriving here, I was in the 10 U.S. Department of Energy, where I was in 11 charge of a energy infrastructure mapping tool 12 called "Eagle-I", the environment for the 13 analysis of geo-located energy information,

charge of a energy infrastructure mapping tool called "Eagle-I", the environment for the analysis of geo-located energy information, upon which we developed a system infrastructure mapping, as well as real-time integration of data, data feeds specifically from electricity utilities, but other industries, which we overlaid for a number of different use cases.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Further, I was the Director of the Quadrennial Energy Review Secretariat for the White House within the Office of Electricity Delivered Energy Reliability, looking at a national review of the U.S. electricity system.

So, here representing the Town of

Hanover, and many of the other communities in New Hampshire, while we were the first community in the state to adopt a 100 percent renewable energy commitment, Concord, Keene, Cornish, and Plainfield have also followed suit, with the adoption of Ready for 100 goals.

An additional subset of communities, such as Lebanon, Nashua, Bedford, Warner, Bristol, Harrisville, Cheshire County, and the Monadnock energy hub also have commitments towards clean energy.

So, as entities seek to move forward with established local commitments, the difficulty of accessing our own energy data, most of which is from national, state, or utility-specific datasets, creates an enormous gap in our ability -- any ability of communities to advance their deep decarbonization and renewable energy goals in a timely and cost-effective manager -- manner, excuse me.

Having an energy data platform, in fact, reduces costs to communities and the utilities, lowering the number of data

requests, standardizing the format of the data, and ensuring that certain data protections are in place.

We feel that, with a strong utility partnership, we could work together to ensure customer privacy concerns are addressed and to minimize, not increase, costs to ratepayers.

And, from an equity perspective, it ensures equal and transparent access for all communities, not just those who can afford to pursue the costly and timely process that was required by Hanover in order to access its data.

Further, we feel that the benefits for access to a open and transparent platform, includes the likes of town planners, energy committees, which are mostly volunteers, and other local officials, state regulators, regional planning commissions, the utilities themselves, their customers, state and local policy makers, implementers and vendors, energy businesses, other organizations committed to efficiency and renewable energy, and local and regional community power programs.

1 Thank you.

2 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. All right. We'll go back to the back row.

MS. MARTIN: Thank you,

Commissioners. My name is Pat Martin. And I participated in the Grid Modernization Working Group. And I feel that one of the most valuable results of that work was the concept of an open, collaborative, robust, standardized data platform.

I'm a member of Keene's -- a

volunteer of Keene's Clean Energy Keene. And

we are in the implementation and planning phase
of our Ready for 100 goal. We rely on

differentiated data to share with Cabots [sic]

Consulting. And we experienced a two-month

delay. They did -- the utility did their best,

but, without a standardized data platform,

these things moved very slowly. And the data

was not totally sufficient. It did break it

out by customer class and total consumption,

but not peak demand or other things that might

be of interest to us as we go forward with our

plan.

1 Thank you very much.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you.

MR. SPENCE: Good morning. My name is Charles Spence. I'm with Packetized Energy, of Burlington, Vermont. We saw this docket recently, so appreciate being allowed to come in and speak for just a second. I'll keep it brief.

Packetized is a company out of
Burlington, like I said. Our tagline is
"Building virtual batteries out of connected
things." So, you can imagine that this concept
of having a data platform would be immensely
helpful to us, as well as a number of other
companies that are in this space.

We do have a number of data-intensive projects that are going to be going on in New Hampshire. So, this would directly effect some things that we are doing. And we believe that our experience in this space can help develop some important things around privacy, cyber, and ensuring third party access to some of this data and kind of keeping that balance.

{DE 19-197} [Prehearing conference] {02-03-20}

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you.

MR. HAMPTON: Devin Hampton, with
Utility API. Utility API is a software
company, and we were founded to accelerate the
deployment and monitoring of distributed energy
resources and energy efficiency technologies.
Our web-based software allows DERs to easily
request and receive their customer's energy
usage, account and billing information will
come up in utilities across the country.

Our customers include DER providers, such as rooftop solar providers, energy efficiency companies, as well as the utilities themselves. All who are increasingly required to provide customer data in a standardized application program interfaces, otherwise known as APIs.

Several of our customers have operations here in New Hampshire. The outcomes of this present docket will affect our business operations, as we intend to be a heavy user of the statewide data sharing platform in order to serve our customers.

Furthermore, Utility API is an active

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

participant in the Green Button Alliance, where we serve on the board of the organization that has built the international standard Green Button Connects around the sharing of energy usage data. We're also deeply involved in the technical working group, even to the point where we backdoored some of our learning by the company into the standard itself.

On top of that, we have extensive technical background in these practices around the country. We have been giving technical assistance to four of the five existing implementations of these types of data sharing platforms around the country. And as we saw in this docket, as it's still moving through, in an attempt to help out what is happening, we wanted to be present to offer our insights into some of the cyber security issues that have been brought up, into the issues around data privacy, issues around usability and user experience. Basically, we saw a good opportunity to bring some of our lessons learned from the rest of the implementations being built, in hopes that New Hampshire can be

the gold standard for how it should be done going forward.

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you.

MR. GOLDING: Hello. Samuel Golding, of Community Choice Partners. For the last about six months, I've been working with stakeholders here in New Hampshire on the development of the community power market, and encouraging them to adopt a collaborative joint action approach to the design and implementation of the market here. And I've been doing that, because what most interests me is how rapidly we can all collectively adapt to fundamental change and challenges facing the power sector, both in governance and operations, decision making.

Because everywhere you look, states have set in motion this kind of top-down and bottom-up wave of technology change that the current system just wasn't designed ever to handle in a stable or cost-effective fashion.

And it's playing out at different rates around the country and different organized electricity

markets around the world.

But what's clear is that we do need to create the structures that allow us to take a data-driven and more collaborative streamline decision-making approach to managing technology change, shifts in the market dynamics that we're not used to, and new consumer preferences and expectations, in terms of service quality and cost, and the range of products that are available.

So, in New England, here, looking at over the next ten years, a rapid expansion of renewable energy, 40 percent by 2030 by some estimates. And, so, on the wholesale side, your average prices are going to decline, but there's going to be more price volatility, and that will drive up risk premiums.

In that context, the most cost-effective and nimble strategy to adopt is one that allows the retail market to develop in a manner where customers themselves can profit off of that volatility, by leveraging all these new technologies on the demand side in a rapid fashion in response to price signals. And that

will create upstream benefits as well, if that market is designed correctly. Lowering upstream costs across the distribution, transmission, and generation capacity in the wholesale market as the price spikes. But that takes time, because the reality is the regional market is fairly fragmented right now. And, so, the municipality is interested in large and community power programs, for example. They do have to grapple with the fact that there's 116 municipalities that have more than one distribution company, for example. Some of them have three or four.

So, I've been pretty impressed with

New Hampshire's thought leadership to date, in

terms of the big structural elements we need to

put in place to adapt and modernize the power

sector, both in governance and operations.

Don — the work of Don's team, for example, the

way that the community power law was structured

actually to extend the authority of

municipalities and communities to put in meter

infrastructure even, it's very oriented around

this kind of bottom-up optimization paradigm.

1 I've enjoyed connecting stakeholders here with stakeholders across other states. 2 3 And, as Devin and others have said here today, 4 you're in a position to really demonstrate to 5 leverage the collective experience of other 6 markets, and then go beyond what's been done, at a critical moment, really, for the industry 7 as a whole. 8 9 And I guess the last thing I'll say 10 is, as a recent resident of New Hampshire, I 11 managed to misstate that my -- I was provided 12 service by Eversource and Unitil, and it's 13 actually Unitil and Liberty. 14 Thank you. 15 MR. KREIS: Good morning, 16 Commissioners. I'm not going to deliver a 17 lengthy peroration in the interest of time. 18 I think, pretty much on our own, and 19

I think, pretty much on our own, and as you've already heard, as a kind of a logical extension of the OCA's work with Unitil coming out of Unitil's 2016 and 2017 electric rate case, we asked the General Court to adopt the statute that triggered this docket, because we believe that a statewide platform of this type

{DE 19-197} [Prehearing conference] {02-03-20}

20

21

22

23

24

is a potentially decisive step in securing the benefits of restructuring to customers, especially the residential customers we represent, through consistent access to and ability to share data.

The big crowd that this event has drawn, and the widespread interest in implementing the statute, suggest that we are, indeed, on the right track. I very much appreciated the reference we heard to New Hampshire possibly becoming the gold standard when it comes to utility customer data sharing. And I believe that, for a variety of reasons, we truly are in a position to be that gold standard.

The question of whether there should be one platform, as opposed to having each utility develop its own mechanism for sharing data, is not on the table. That question has already been resolved by the General Court.

As Representative McGhee mentioned, this is an IT project, which means there are pitfalls. A key question is developing the right governance paradigm to assure that the

platform is developed and managed effectively, in the public interest. I think that is very doable, while still keeping both the PUC and the OCA out of the business of deploying software projects, because those kinds of things are outside of our respective bailiwicks.

Ultimately, it's quite likely there are one or more contractors out there that can get this right, given the appropriate counterparties, and given the right amount and degree of oversight. I'm confident that we can use this docket to figure all of that out.

We know the PUC has the ability under the statute to keep this project from going forward, if the costs suggest the project is not in the public interest. We will present evidence on that question, among others.

At this stage, meaning the prehearing conference stage, the challenge I think is to hit the sweet spot between a docket that is nothing but endless meetings in quest of a consensus, that will always be one meeting away, on the one hand, from getting an answer.

1 And, on the other hand, launching an 2 adjudicative process that is too hurried to get 3 all of these difficult questions right. We 4 will work in good faith with the other parties 5 to hit that sweet spot, while mindful that this 6 is, by statute, an adjudicative proceeding. 7 I'm very encouraged by everything I've heard so far this morning. And I urge the 8 Commission to do as Staff has recommended and 9 10 grant all the intervention petitions, because 11 there's a lot of insight and capacity in the 12 room that the Commission should, indeed, take 13 advantage of. 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. 16 Buckley, if you want to go, I don't think they 17 filed a motion to intervene. So, we'll take

MR. BUCKLEY: Thank you, Madam Chair. As noted in the Order of Notice, the Commission has opened this docket pursuant to SB 284, which amended RSA 378 by adding a new subdivision that relates to a multi-use energy data platform.

{DE 19-197} [Prehearing conference] {02-03-20}

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

them after.

1 Staff looks forward to working with 2 the parties to explore issues identified in the 3 Order of Notice, including, but not limited to, those issues related to the development, 4 5 implementation, and maintenance of a statewide 6 multi-use online energy data platform, issues 7 relating to privacy policies for customer data, and issues relating to the availability and 8 9 transmittal of aggregated community level data, 10 and issues related to whether certain platform 11 costs to be recovered from customers are 12 reasonable and in the public interest, or 13 whether instead implementation of the platform 14 and related costs should be deferred to a later 15 date. 16 We will touch upon these and other 17 issues, including the procedural schedule, 18 possible party consolidation and/or joint 19 filing, and the general scope of the docket in 20 the technical session that follows this

prehearing conference.

Thank you.

21

22

23

24

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. there other people who would like to be heard

1	on this today?
2	[No indication given.]
3	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Seeing
4	none. Given the number of motions to
5	intervene, we would like to encourage
6	consolidation where interests are aligned. And
7	we would ask that, as part of your technical
8	session, you discuss that and submit a proposal
9	with the technical session report.
10	Is there anything else that we need
11	to address before you head off to the technical
12	session?
13	[No indication given.]
14	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you,
15	everyone, for coming. And we are adjourned.
16	(Whereupon the prehearing
17	conference was adjourned at
18	10:51 a.m., and a technical
19	session was held thereafter.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	